

# Multilingual Coreference Resolution: Adapt and Generate

System Description – CRAC 2023 Shared Task

---

Tatiana Anikina, Natalia Skachkova, Anna Mokhova

DFKI / Saarland Informatics Campus, Saarbrücken, Germany



# Table of contents

1. Introduction
2. DFKI-Adapt
3. DFKI-MPrompt
4. Conclusion

# Introduction

---

# Task definition & Motivation

The CRAC-2023 shared task [Žabokrtský et al., 2023] focused on **multilingual coreference resolution**, which includes (a) mention prediction and (b) mention clustering.

Goal: One model that can be applied to different languages.

BUT:

- Languages may differ a lot in grammar, morphology, writing systems, etc.
- Annotated corpora are often not parallel and differ in size.
- Datasets may differ in how markables are defined.

We investigate:

- how to combine the existing data, features and fine-tuning approaches to **improve** the baseline results **without larger models or additional data**;
- if **knowledge accumulated in large multilingual language models** can be extracted using prompt fine-tuning to perform mention detection, and if this method can compete with the state-of-the-art one.

DFKI-Adapt

---

## DFKI-Adapt

- is based on the official baseline
- combines joint pre-training, combined datasets for related languages, loss-based re-training, character embeddings and adapters

Note: Configurations are evaluated using the official development data.



## *joined-pre-training*

The available datasets are quite different in terms of size and annotations. However, the task of identifying and clustering coreferent mentions is the same.

We pre-train the baseline model on **all datasets combined together** and then continue fine-tuning this model on each dataset. We restrict the number of the pre-training steps to 100,000.

Joined pre-training is **beneficial for all languages** and it brings an average improvement of **+4.8 F1** points compared to the CRAC baseline.





## *combined-datasets*

Combining the training sets of the **related languages**. E.g., for Spanish we combine it with other Romance languages that include Catalan and French.

Combined datasets are beneficial, although the benefits differ. The average improvement is **+2.29 F1** compared to the CRAC baseline.

Combining datasets is especially **helpful when we have a small number of annotated documents**.

## *char-embeddings*

273 **characters** which include alphabet letters plus some additional symbols such as currency or copyright signs.

We run **bi-LSTM** to encode every token in the data.

In the coreference model we **concatenate the character embeddings** of the start and the end of each span with the corresponding BERT embeddings.

Character embeddings give a boost in performance compared to the CRAC baseline (**+0.77 F1** points on average). The only two languages which show a decrease in performance are German and English.



## *loss re-training*

We store the loss associated with each document per epoch. At the end of each epoch we **sort the documents by their losses and take the 10%** of the most difficult ones (with the highest loss) for **additional training**.

This brings an average improvement of **+0.63% F1** points across all datasets. However, some datasets (e.g., Spanish and English-GUM) show worse performance.

The approach works better when there are less training data available.



## *task-adapters*

We add **adapters** to the baseline model and then **pre-train them** for each dataset. Then we load the pre-trained adapters and train a new model for each dataset with the pre-trained adapter weights.

- **task-adapters-frozen**: we do not further train the adapters
- **task-adapters-tuned**: we continue training the adapters together with the rest of the model

With **task-adapters-tuned** the model underperforms by **-4.39 F1** points on average.

With **task-adapters-frozen** the results differ between the datasets. E.g., the model trained on German-Potsdam gains +8.21 F1 points compared to the baseline. However, English-GUM has a drop of -15.38 F1 points. The average improvement is **+0.67 F1**.

# DFKI-Adapt: Evaluation

Dataset	mbert-joined	mbert-separate	char-embed	joined-pre-training	combined-datasets	loss-re-training	task-adapters-frozen	task-adapters-tuned	DFKI-Adapt	CRAC-baseline
ca_ancora	<b>68.97</b>	65.06	66.56	68.72	66.29	65.59	66.19	61.99	68.34	65.60
cs_pdt	66.35	65.30	67.45	68.32	66.62	65.36	66.35	61.18	<b>68.60</b>	65.66
en_gum	65.80	52.01	54.05	62.41	35.25	51.38	51.49	47.54	<b>69.63</b>	66.87
fr_democrat	59.74	58.85	58.88	60.97	61.09	57.81	57.88	52.50	<b>62.34</b>	57.22
de_potsdamcc	65.77	58.92	55.16	62.03	67.12	59.77	64.28	60.27	<b>69.29</b>	56.07
hu_szegedkoref	59.78	59.98	59.53	62.29	60.42	60.13	57.39	53.70	<b>62.60</b>	58.96
lt_lcc	71.22	69.09	69.55	73.18	<b>75.76</b>	69.47	68.05	64.95	73.08	66.96
no_bokmaal	69.81	68.47	69.11	72.26	69.09	67.65	68.83	64.53	<b>72.45</b>	58.44
pl_pcc	65.41	63.64	65.32	<b>66.38</b>	66.21	63.74	64.30	59.44	65.89	64.17
ru_rucor	62.08	62.11	63.84	66.54	64.58	63.26	61.73	57.97	<b>67.50</b>	63.04
es_ancora	67.00	66.37	67.99	69.82	66.64	66.29	66.99	62.53	<b>70.07</b>	67.00
tr_itcc	31.66	31.35	17.98	30.80	33.88	23.28	20.68	6.91	<b>37.80</b>	16.15

**Table 1:** CoNLL F1 scores on the development data. The best performing setting is in bold

DFKI-Adapt takes the **4th** and the **6th places** among 8 (dev) and 10 (test) submissions, and shows an **improvement** over the CRAC baseline by **+9.07 F1** points on the **development data** and by **+4.9 F1** points on the **test data**.

# DFKI-MPrompt

---

# DFKI-MPrompt: Mention generation task

- mT5-base (580M) & mT5-large (1.2B) for mention generation
- Models' weights are frozen
- No demonstrations
- A prefix of 5 tunable embeddings (randomly initialized)
- A generated mention is correct, if both the mention string and its indices are correct
- The OpenPrompt library [Ding et al., 2021]

**Original text:** já Jsem prý v USA a hry skončily , uvedl de Merode .



**Input:** 0 já 1 Jsem 2 prý 3 v 4 USA 5 a 6 hry 7 skončily 8 , 9 uvedl 10 de 11 Merode 12 .

**Desired output:** já (0-0) | de Merode (10-11) | hry (6-6) | v USA (3-4)



**Prompt:** 0 já 1 Jsem 2 prý 3 v 4 USA 5 a 6 hry 7 skončily 8 , 9 uvedl 10 de 11 Merode 12 . Find all valid mentions: [MASK]

# DFKI-MPrompt: Mention generation results

- The CRAC baseline was trained on all the training data in one go.
- The scores are not directly comparable, as the baseline omits singletons.
- In comparison to the CRAC baseline, the approach underperforms by **-7.82 F1** points.

Data	# men	mT5-base	mT5-large	baseline
avg	108,006	6.09	66.83	<b>74.65</b>
ca_ancora	7,280	54.79	61.77	<b>81.55</b>
cs_pcedt	23,784	61.61	66.95	<b>80.90</b>
cs_pdt	20,955	57.24	62.46	<b>78.76</b>
en_gum	5,508	69.97	76.15	<b>80.24</b>
en_parcorfull	79	39.29	37.33	<b>58.13</b>
fr_democrat	7,032	68.87	75.88	<b>78.63</b>
de_parcorfull	93	52.81	<b>55.14</b>	53.89
de_potsdamcc	558	62.91	72.92	<b>73.47</b>
hu_korkor	448	55.32	61.04	<b>70.85</b>
hu_szegedkoref	1,458	58.10	63.36	<b>68.23</b>
lt_lcc	366	53.39	59.01	<b>77.06</b>
no_bokmaal	6,446	72.38	80.79	<b>84.07</b>
no_nynorsk	5,193	72.97	80.75	<b>85.16</b>
pl_pcc	18,857	64.95	72.09	<b>77.49</b>
ru_rucor	2,297	73.16	77.97	<b>83.43</b>
es_ancora	7,161	54.97	61.72	<b>82.56</b>
tr_itcc	491	65.75	<b>70.70</b>	54.65

**Table 2:** F1 scores for mention identification on development data



- Shorter mentions in shorter sentences are more likely to be generated correctly
- Among 21,133 wrong outputs, given development data,
  - **379 (1.79%)** do not have brackets with indices
  - **752 (3.56%)** cannot be split, as they have a wrong delimiter, and the majority contain correct indices
  - **20,002 (94.65%)** consist of one mention and one index pair, and about a third of them have correct indices

Example: *"Rodolfo Bay Wright, fundador de la aerolínea Spantax (1-9) |, fundador de la aerolínea Spantax (4-9)"*

We modify the CRAC baseline's architecture so that it performs only coreference resolution. Next, it is **re-trained on gold mentions** (including singletons) using all training data in one go, and **evaluated on the generated ones**.

## DFKI-MPrompt: Coreference resolution results

Model	dev	test
<b>Official CRAC baseline</b>	58.99	56.96
CRAC baseline trained on all the data (pred)	61.08	N/A
CRAC baseline trained on all the data (gold)	77.81	N/A
<b>CRAC baseline trained on all the data (gen)</b>	57.21	53.76

**Table 3:** Average coreference resolution F1 scores for 17 datasets

The approach takes the **last place** out of 8 (dev) and 10 (test) submissions. Compared to the official CRAC baseline, it shows an average **decrease** in performance by **-1.78** on the **development data** and by **-3.20 F1** points on the **test data**.

Only on **4 out of 17 test** sets the model performs better than the baseline, e.g., on Hungarian-KorKor with **+3.82 F1** and on Turkish with **+14.69 F1** points.

## Conclusion

---

## DFKI-Adapt

- Joined pre-training with further fine-tuning on the respective dataset is the most beneficial setting.
- The largest gains can be achieved with the combination of different settings.
- Pre-trained and frozen adapter weights can be helpful for many languages


## DFKI-MPrompt

- Demonstrated worse results than the baseline
- Could be improved applying a better template, more optimal hyperparameters and a larger model
- Could be tried out to deal with split antecedents and discontinuous mentions


DFKI-Adapt: `tatiana.anikina@dfki.de`

DFKI-MPrompt: `natalia.skachkova@dfki.de`

Thank you for your attention!

 Ding, N., Hu, S., Zhao, W., Chen, Y., Liu, Z., Zheng, H.-T., and Sun, M. (2021).

**OpenPrompt: An open-source framework for prompt-learning.**  
*arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.01998.*

 Žabokrtský, Z., Konopík, M., Nedoluzhko, A., Novák, M., Ogrodniczuk, M., Popel, M., Pražák, O., Sido, J., and Zeman, D. (2023).

**Findings of the second shared task on multilingual coreference resolution.**

*In Proceedings of the Sixth Workshop on Computational Models of Reference, Anaphora and Coreference (CRAC 2023), pages 1–15.*