Bridging Corpus for Russian in comparison with Czech

Bridging anaphora - anaphor (*bridging element*) is associated to antecedent (*anchor*) There were some **fruits** on the table. John took an apple There was an **apple** at the table, I gave it to John. The experiments - application of the RuGenBrigde and PDT schemes Pairs annotated in RuGenBridge form semantic classes similar to PDT Hypothesis **Experiment 1.** Eight Russian texts annotated with PDT and RuGenBridge schemes independently: 69 PDT marks Results: we catch different bridging 22 RuGenBridge marks just one the same **Experiment 2.** We checked out all 430 cases of genitive bridging in the RuGenBrigde corpus, and added PDT bridging marks to all pairs where it was possible. Ge 218 pai • Anchor - geographical name + bridging element - "something is located there" (Moscow – hospitals) pai Anchor - geographical name + bridging element - "something is concerned with this geographic object" (Russia – budget) • Object – its possessor (*flat – landloard*), pairs • Object – something belonging to this object, but not the part of the object (*aerodrome – airplane*), • Expressions with the names of measures (*oil – barrel*), 162 • Collocations, mostly deverbative nouns (*rates – increase*) $driver \rightarrow BRIDGE \rightarrow the bus$

Bridging relations are annotated in the following cases:

- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Direct anaphora - anaphor and antecedent are referring to the same discourse entity. Semantic Approach to Bridging Annotation - PDT PART-WHOLE & WHOLE-PART - part and a whole: *face – eyes* SET-SUB & SUB-SET - set and its subsets or elements of the set: *a group of students – some students* FUNCT-P & P-FUNCT - an entity and a singular function on this entity: *company – director* CONTRAST - coherence-relevant discourse opposites: *black flags – white flags* REST – 6 subgroups (family members, event – argument, locality – inhabitant, etc.) ANAPH - non-coreferential explicit anaphoric relation: *first world war – at that time* Syntactic Approach to Bridging Annotation - RuGenBridge Bridging relations are annotated if two elements (an anchor/antecedent and a bridging element/anaphor) can form a genitive construction, where the anchor is marked with the genitive case V <u>avtobuse</u> nachalsya pozhar. Voditeľ [avtobusa.Gen] sam potušil ogon'. *'The fire broke out in the <u>bus</u>. The driver [of the bus] put out the fire by himself.'* The driver is the driver of the bus from previous sentence \rightarrow Driver and bus are anaphorically related.

in Russian.

'Driver bus_Gen' – is grammatical

We use the NON-GEN label if the construction 'bridging element + anchor_Gen' is ungrammatical due to lexical constrains

Pravitel'stvo **Rossijskoj Federacii** vneslo na rassmotrenie (...) Etot proekt takz^{*}e sposobstvuet razvitiju <u>Sibiri</u>. [The Government of the **Russian Federation** brings a bill (...) This bill also promotes the devel opment of <u>Syberia</u>.]

Syberia \rightarrow NON-GEN \rightarrow Russian Federation

autom.

The study was supported by the Russian Founda- tion for Basic research (grant No. 15-07-09306), The Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (grant 16-05394S) and Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (grant LH14011)

ANNA ROITBERG¹, ANNA NEDOLUZHKO² ¹IMPB of IPM RAS School of Linguistics HSE RSU, Russian Federation ²Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic

*Syberia Russiam Federatiom_Gen - ungrammatical

n_Bridge annotation scheme		PDT annotation scheme		Annotated	
		_	PART - WHOLE	73	with PDT
BRIDGE	362		FUNCT-P	55	tags
NON - GEN	8		SET - SUBSET	8	Remaine without
Total GenBridge	370		REST	16	PDT tags
irs remained witho			Total PDT	152	

Anaphoricity of many pairs is given by situational relationships within the texts. These relations do not have semantic nature, but they are not purely pragmatic.

Conclusions:

Genitive bridging provides an opportunity to find out new functional types of bridging relations with respect to textual structure;

Genitive bridging is more consistent than semantic annotation of bridging, because it is based on formal criteria and it does not require fixing a borderline between semantics and the world knowledge.

Future work:

Annotate the existing corpus with two annotators and a supervisor, measure IAA; Extend the corpus and analyze bridging cases attested;

Develop a system for genitive bridging resolution based on the information in the corpus